

# The Centimeter and Millimeter Microwave Spectrum of 1,1-Difluoro-2-Chloroethylene

Luis A. Leal, J. C. López, and J. L. Alonso

Departamento de Química Física, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Valladolid, E-47005 Valladolid, Spain

A. Guarnieri

Abteilung Chemische Physik im Institut für Physikalische Chemie der Universität Kiel, Kiel, Germany

Z. Naturforsch. **48a**, 514–518 (1993); received November 11, 1992

The rotational spectra of both  $^{35}\text{Cl}$  and  $^{37}\text{Cl}$  1,1-difluoro-2-chloroethylene isotopomers have been measured in the frequency range 12–225 GHz. A first order quadrupole coupling analysis has been performed for both isotopic species. The calculated quadrupole coupling constants for the  $^{37}\text{Cl}$  species not previously reported are  $\chi_{aa} = -49.2$  (2),  $\chi_{bb} = 14.2$  (1), and  $\chi_{cc} = 28.7$  (1). Accurate rotational constants, quartic and some sextic centrifugal distortion constants have also been determined for both isotopomers from the fit to the observed central frequencies.

## Introduction

The microwave spectrum of 1,1-difluoro-2-chloroethylene was first studied by Jenkins and Sugden [1] in the frequency range below 26.5 GHz. For the  $^{35}\text{Cl}$  species they report the rotational and quadrupole coupling constants determined from the analysis of several R and Q-branch transitions. However for the  $^{37}\text{Cl}$  isotopomer they could not resolve the quadrupole coupling structure and gave a set of rotational constants determined from a series of Q-branch lines and only one R-branch transition. A further investigation of the  $\text{F}_2\text{C}=\text{CH}^{35}\text{Cl}$  spectrum in the centimeter wave range was performed by Stone and Flygare [2] to determine the off-diagonal element  $\chi_{ab}$  of the nuclear quadrupole coupling tensor from the analysis of second order effects.

In the present work the centimeter and millimeter-wave spectra of both  $\text{F}_2\text{C}=\text{CH}^{35}\text{Cl}$  and  $\text{F}_2\text{C}=\text{CH}^{37}\text{Cl}$  have been investigated to determine accurate rotational and centrifugal distortion constants as well as the quadrupole coupling constants for the  $^{37}\text{Cl}$  isotopomer.

## Experimental Details

The sample of 1,1-difluoro-2-chloroethylene was obtained from Fluorochem Ltd. and was used without

Reprint requests to Prof. Dr. J. L. Alonso, Departamento de Química Física, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Valladolid, E-47005 Valladolid/Spain

further purification. The spectra of the  $^{35}\text{Cl}$  and  $^{37}\text{Cl}$  isotopomers were observed in natural abundance at 260 K and room temperature. Lines in the frequency range 12–54 GHz were measured using centimeter and millimeter Stark modulation spectrometers described elsewhere [3, 4]. The source used for the 37.2–54 GHz region was a 12.4–18 GHz BWO followed by a Spacek Labs. Q-3K Frequency tripler. Measurements in the 80–255 GHz were performed using a source modulated computed controlled millimeter-wave spectrometer [5]. The estimated accuracy of frequency measurements is better than 30 kHz.

## Analysis of the Spectrum

1,1-difluoro-2-chloroethylene is a prolate asymmetric rotor ( $\kappa \approx -0.9$ ) with the dipole moment mainly oriented along  $b$  inertial axis [1]. The low- $J$   $^b\text{R}$  and  $^b\text{Q}$  rotational transitions of  $\text{F}_2\text{C}=\text{CH}^{35}\text{Cl}$  were easily assigned and measured from a prediction of the spectrum using the rotational and  $^{35}\text{Cl}$  quadrupole coupling constants previously reported [1, 2]. After these initial measurements the assignment of the spectrum for higher values of  $J$  was continued for the R, Q and P-branch on the basis of the Stark patterns and the predicted quadrupole coupling structure. Centrifugal distortion constants were allowed to vary in the intermediate fits as  $J$  was increased. A total of 178 transitions up to  $J=60$  with their corresponding quadrupole coupling components have been measured.

0932-0784 / 93 / 0300-0514 \$ 01.30/0. – Please order a reprint rather than making your own copy.



Dieses Werk wurde im Jahr 2013 vom Verlag Zeitschrift für Naturforschung in Zusammenarbeit mit der Max-Planck-Gesellschaft zur Förderung der Wissenschaften e.V. digitalisiert und unter folgender Lizenz veröffentlicht: Creative Commons Namensnennung-Keine Bearbeitung 3.0 Deutschland Lizenz.

Zum 01.01.2015 ist eine Anpassung der Lizenzbedingungen (Entfall der Creative Commons Lizenzbedingung „Keine Bearbeitung“) beabsichtigt, um eine Nachnutzung auch im Rahmen zukünftiger wissenschaftlicher Nutzungsformen zu ermöglichen.

This work has been digitalized and published in 2013 by Verlag Zeitschrift für Naturforschung in cooperation with the Max Planck Society for the Advancement of Science under a Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivs 3.0 Germany License.

On 01.01.2015 it is planned to change the License Conditions (the removal of the Creative Commons License condition “no derivative works”). This is to allow reuse in the area of future scientific usage.

Table 1. Quadrupole coupling constants for the  $^{35}\text{Cl}$  and  $^{37}\text{Cl}$  isotopomers of 1,1-difluoro-2-chloroethylene.

	$^{35}\text{Cl}$	$^{37}\text{Cl}$
$\chi_{aa}/\text{MHz}$	-54.81 (8) <sup>a</sup>	-42.9 (2)
$\chi_{bb}/\text{MHz}$	18.18 (4)	14.2 (1)
$\chi_{cc}/\text{MHz}$	36.63 (6)	28.7 (1)
$\sigma_s^b/\text{MHz}$	0.033	0.042

<sup>a</sup> Standard errors in parenthesis in units of the last digit.<sup>b</sup> Standard deviation of the quadrupole fit.Table 2. A selection of observed quadrupole coupling components (MHz) used in the quadrupole coupling analysis, and differences between observed and calculated values for  $\text{F}_2\text{C}=\text{CH}^{35}\text{Cl}$ .

Transition	$F_u$	$F_l$	Obs. freq.	Obs.-calc.
1 (1, 1) – 0 (0, 0)	1/2 – 3/2		12 596.320	-0.002
	3/2 – 3/2		12 604.510	0.005
	5/2 – 3/2		12 599.970	0.011
2 (2, 0) – 2 (1, 1)	5/2 – 5/2		25 268.010	0.016
	7/2 – 7/2		25 249.660	0.012
4 (2, 2) – 4 (1, 3)	5/2 – 5/2		24 055.350	-0.013
	7/2 – 7/2		24 059.730	0.015
	9/2 – 9/2		24 061.270	0.029
5 (2, 4) – 5 (1, 5)	7/2 – 7/2		28 952.380	0.006
	9/2 – 9/2		28 958.880	0.020
	11/2 – 11/2		28 960.720	0.031
5 (1, 5) – 4 (0, 4)	13/2 – 13/2		28 954.210	0.007
	13/2 – 11/2		26 619.750	-0.007
	11/2 – 9/2		26 619.110	-0.017
5 (0, 5) – 4 (1, 4)	9/2 – 7/2		26 618.350	0.015
	7/2 – 5/2		13 893.000	0.004
	9/2 – 7/2		13 892.690	0.036
6 (1, 6) – 5 (0, 5)	11/2 – 9/2		13 893.570	0.050
	13/2 – 11/2		13 893.870	0.008
	15/2 – 13/2		29 762.210	0.009
8 (4, 4) – 7 (3, 5)	13/2 – 11/2		29 761.360	0.011
	11/2 – 9/2		29 760.910	0.016
	9/2 – 7/2		29 761.730	-0.016
13 (2, 12) – 13 (1, 13)	13/2 – 11/2		93 824.630	0.006
	15/2 – 13/2		93 827.130	0.000
	17/2 – 15/2		93 827.760	0.011
13 (1, 13) – 12 (0, 12)	19/2 – 17/2		93 825.260	0.017
	23/2 – 23/2		45 077.490	0.020
	25/2 – 25/2		45 080.560	0.028
13 (1, 13) – 12 (0, 12)	27/2 – 27/2		45 080.870	-0.004
	29/2 – 29/2		45 077.800	-0.012
	23/2 – 21/2		52 131.980	0.066
	27/2 – 25/2		52 131.710	0.023

Initial predictions of the microwave spectrum of  $\text{F}_2\text{C}=\text{CH}^{37}\text{Cl}$  were performed using the rotational constants reported by Jenkins and Sugden [1] for this isotopomer. We also used the  $^{35}\text{Cl}$  quadrupole coupling constants corrected by a factor equal to the quadrupole coupling ratio ( $^{35}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ ) [6] which is approximately obeyed by the quadrupole coupling tensor elements in related molecules [7]. The  $^b\text{Q}$  transi-

Table 3. A selection of observed quadrupole coupling components (MHz) used in the quadrupole coupling analysis, and differences between observed and calculated values for  $\text{F}_2\text{C}=\text{CH}^{37}\text{Cl}$ .

Transition	$F_u$	$F_l$	Obs. freq.	Obs.-calc.
7 (2, 6) – 7 (1, 7)	11/2 – 11/2		31 558.370	0.010
	13/2 – 13/2		31 562.000	0.032
	15/2 – 15/2		31 562.780	0.077
8 (2, 7) – 7 (1, 6)	17/2 – 17/2		31 559.120	0.026
	13/2 – 11/2		53 269.230	0.066
	15/2 – 13/2		53 268.700	0.054
8 (4, 4) – 7 (3, 5)	17/2 – 15/2		53 268.700	-0.065
	19/2 – 17/2		53 269.230	-0.053
	13/2 – 11/2		93 330.950	0.022
10 (3, 8) – 10 (2, 9)	15/2 – 13/2		93 332.920	0.032
	17/2 – 15/2		93 333.440	0.068
	19/2 – 17/2		93 331.440	0.027
11 (3, 8) – 10 (2, 9)	17/2 – 17/2		44 963.050	0.035
	23/2 – 23/2		44 963.310	0.018
	19/2 – 17/2		90 822.490	0.059
12 (1, 12) – 11 (0, 11)	23/2 – 21/2		90 824.510	-0.058
	25/2 – 23/2		90 822.790	0.001
	21/2 – 19/2		47 765.310	0.022
14 (3, 12) – 14 (2, 13)	23/2 – 21/2		47 765.000	0.074
	25/2 – 23/2		47 765.000	-0.015
	27/2 – 25/2		47 765.310	-0.067
15 (3, 13) – 15 (2, 14)	27/2 – 27/2		48 644.240	0.083
	29/2 – 29/2		48 644.240	-0.075
	31/2 – 31/2		48 642.740	-0.052
18 (2, 16) – 17 (3, 15)	31/2 – 31/2		50 035.330	-0.039
	33/2 – 33/2		50 033.860	-0.016
	35/2 – 33/2		51 179.390	0.035
			51 180.050	0.032

Table 4. A selection of the observed central frequencies (MHz) for  $\text{F}_2\text{C}=\text{CH}^{35}\text{Cl}$ . Differences between the observed frequencies and those calculated from the constants of Table 8 are given together with the calculated quartic and sextic contributions.

Transition	Obs.	Obs.-calc.	P4 term	P6 term
49 15 35 50 14 36	36 215.090	-0.043	-144.228	-0.393
50 15 36 51 14 37	31 721.190	0.005	-144.035	-0.417
51 15 37 52 14 38	27 209.910	0.001	-143.589	-0.442
54 7 47 54 6 48	91 718.310	-0.036	-270.124	0.306
53 16 38 54 15 39	36 220.020	-0.001	-176.145	-0.566
54 16 39 55 15 40	31 718.340	0.000	-175.794	-0.598
56 13 44 56 12 45	208 249.980	-0.023	-382.416	-0.525
56 13 43 56 12 44	208 237.340	-0.043	-382.189	-0.526
55 16 40 56 15 41	27 200.210	0.003	-175.165	-0.629
57 12 46 56 13 43	33 504.960	0.002	63.065	0.371
57 12 45 56 13 44	33 526.170	0.009	62.670	0.372
58 13 46 58 12 47	207 151.600	-0.003	-402.401	-0.570
58 13 45 58 12 46	207 123.550	0.060	-401.886	-0.572
57 17 41 58 16 42	36 216.320	0.011	-212.378	-0.795
59 8 51 59 7 52	90 975.910	0.019	-304.325	0.389
58 17 42 59 16 43	31 707.730	0.004	-211.847	-0.836
60 8 53 59 9 50	94 421.760	0.012	199.962	-0.777
60 13 48 59 14 45	28 612.810	-0.001	87.822	0.518
60 13 47 59 14 46	28 618.090	-0.012	87.700	0.518
59 17 43 60 16 44	27 183.490	-0.001	-211.013	-0.876

Table 5. A selection of the observed central frequencies (MHz) for  $F_2C=CH^{37}Cl$ . Differences between the observed frequencies and those calculated from the constants of Table 8 are given together with the calculated quartic and sextic contributions.

Transition	Obs.	Obs.- calc.	P4 term	P6 term
48 14 35 49 13 36	30 004.970	-0.013	-116.028	-0.351
51 13 39 51 12 40	212 350.620	0.003	-324.819	-0.441
51 14 38 52 13 39	16 764.400	0.023	-113.150	-0.408
58 9 49 58 8 50	102 956.740	0.009	-106.174	-0.351
58 13 46 58 12 47	209 402.170	-0.009	-392.790	-0.594
58 13 45 58 12 46	209 387.680	0.049	-392.512	-0.595
58 12 47 57 13 44	29 506.950	0.005	61.361	0.415
58 12 46 57 13 45	29 523.210	0.005	61.044	0.416
57 16 42 58 15 43	26 766.980	-0.005	-174.040	-0.757
59 13 47 58 14 44	14 884.910	0.011	95.100	0.541
59 13 46 58 14 45	14 886.580	0.016	95.061	0.541
60 8 52 60 7 53	88 894.740	-0.008	-287.882	0.342
59 16 44 60 15 45	17 909.550	-0.003	-170.914	-0.823
59 17 43 60 16 44	36 137.350	-0.001	-211.982	-0.961

Table 6. Results of the fit considering the observed central frequencies of  $F_2C=CH^{35}Cl$  for transitions up to  $J=40$  after the  $A$  reduced Hamiltonian of Watson [9] up to quartic terms for the three possible representations

	I <sup>r</sup>	II <sup>r</sup>	III <sup>r</sup>
$A/\text{MHz}$	10 710.737 (1) <sup>a</sup>	10 710.738 (1)	10 710.737 (1)
$B/\text{MHz}$	2 297.1873 (3)	2 297.1742 (3)	2 297.1900 (3)
$C/\text{MHz}$	1 890.1462 (3)	1 890.1586 (3)	1 890.1435 (3)
$\Delta_J/\text{kHz}$	0.3485 (2)	6.271 (5)	6.391 (4)
$\Delta_{JK}/\text{kHz}$	4.0781 (9)	-13.69 (1)	-14.05 (1)
$\Delta_K/\text{kHz}$	7.882 (9)	7.889 (9)	7.888 (9)
$\delta_J/\text{kHz}$	0.05990 (4)	-3.021 (2)	2.961 (2)
$\delta_K/\text{kHz}$	2.597 (2)	3.643 (4)	-4.009 (5)
$N^b$	115.	115.	115.
$J_{\text{max}}$	40.	40.	40.
$\sigma^c/\text{MHz}$	0.030	0.031	0.030

<sup>a</sup> Standard errors in parenthesis in units of the last digit.

<sup>b</sup> Number of fitted transitions.

<sup>c</sup> Standard deviation of the fit.

Largest correlation coefficient 0.94 between  $B/C$  and  $B/\Delta_J$  for the I<sup>r</sup>-representation. For the representations II<sup>r</sup> and III<sup>r</sup> correlation coefficients near 1 for  $\Delta_J$ ,  $\Delta_{JK}$ ,  $\Delta_K$ ,  $\delta_J$  each with  $\Delta_{JK}$ ,  $\Delta_K$ ,  $\delta_J$ ,  $\delta_K$ .

tions were identified but it was not possible to assign the R-branch spectrum. With the available data the rotational constants were calculated using only one R-branch transition, the  $7_{0,7} \leftarrow 6_{1,6}$  for which the reported mean was 22 561.1 MHz [1]. A misassignment of this line could have caused erroneous values for the rotational constants. From our measured Q-branch lines values of  $A-C = 8864.70$  MHz and  $\kappa = -0.9128$  were calculated. Giving the orientation of the  $a$  inertial axis [2] a very small change in the  $A$  rotational

constants would be expected upon isotopic substitution on the Cl atom. Thus using the value of this constant determined for the  $^{35}Cl$  isotopomer and the constants  $A-C$  and  $\kappa$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  were calculated. Using these new constants we were able to assign the R-branch on the basis of the Stark and quadrupole coupling patterns. The calculated mean frequency for the  $7_{0,7} \leftarrow 6_{1,6}$  transition gets a value of 22 552.17 MHz, a value which is 9 MHz lower than the frequency reported by Jenkins and Sudgen [1]. Using the same procedure as for the  $^{35}Cl$  isotopomer, the assignment of the spectrum for higher values of  $J$  in the R, Q and P-branch was performed up to  $J = 60$ . A total of 170 transitions and their quadrupole coupling components have been measured.

The first order quadrupole coupling analysis has been followed for both the  $^{35}Cl$  and  $^{37}Cl$  isotopomers [8]. A total of 100 quadrupole coupling components have been fitted for both cases. The resulting quadrupole coupling constants are given in Table 1. A selection of the measured quadrupole coupling component frequencies included in the analysis as well as the differences with the calculated values are collected in Table 2 for  $^{35}Cl$ , and Table 3 for  $^{37}Cl$ . A complete set of measured frequencies can be obtained from the authors (JLA) on request.

The centrifugal distortion analysis has been performed using the calculated central frequencies. Selection of some of them for the observed transitions with high values of  $J$ , for both isotopomers, are collected on Tables 4 and 5. As a first step in the centrifugal distortion analysis the spectra of both isotopomers up to  $J = 40$  have been fitted to the  $A$  and  $S$  reduced Watson semirigid rotor Hamiltonians [9] using only quartic terms in the three right handed representations. These fits have been performed in order to see if there is any dependence of the standard deviation of the fit on the representation and reduction used, and which is the best Hamiltonian to determine the quartic centrifugal distortion constants [10]. The conclusions of this first analysis are the same for both isotopomers, so we present here the results for  $^{35}Cl$  only. Table 6 gives the results obtained for the  $A$  reduced Hamiltonian, and Table 7 those for the  $S$  reduced Hamiltonian. It can be observed that the quality of the fit is the same in all cases. The planarity relations for the quartic centrifugal distortion constants give values close to  $0.03 \text{ MHz}^2$ . For the  $A$  reduction the value of the constant  $\Delta_K$  is the same in all representations, and the absolute values of the  $\delta_J$  and  $\delta_1$  constants for each

Table 7. Results of the fit considering the observed central frequencies of  $F_2C=CH^{35}Cl$  for transitions up to  $J=40$  after the  $S$  reduced Hamiltonian of Watson [9] up to quartic terms.

	I <sup>r</sup>	II <sup>r</sup>	III <sup>r</sup>
$A$ /MHz	10 710 738 (1) <sup>a</sup>	10 710.741 (1)	10 710.741 (1)
$B$ /MHz	2 297.1820 (3)	2 297.1842 (3)	2 297.1784 (3)
$C$ /MHz	1 890.1514 (3)	1 890.1473 (3)	1 890.1526 (3)
$D_J$ /kHz	0.3179 (2)	4.264 (2)	4.563 (2)
$D_{JK}$ /kHz	4.2636 (9)	−1.653 (1)	−3.0834 (7)
$D_K$ /kHz	7.724 (9)	−2.143 (3)	−1.251 (2)
$d_1$ /kHz	−0.05990 (4)	3.021 (2)	−2.961 (2)
$d_2$ /kHz	−0.01533 (1)	−1.003 (1)	−0.914 (1)
$N^b$	115.	115.	115.
$J_{max}$	40.	40.	40.
$\sigma^c$ /MHz	0.030	0.030	0.030

<sup>a</sup> Standard errors in parenthesis in units of the last digit.<sup>b</sup> Number of fitted transitions.<sup>c</sup> Standard deviation of the fit.

Largest correlation coefficient 0.94 between  $B/C$  and  $B/D_J$  for the I<sup>r</sup>-representation. For the representations II<sup>r</sup> and III<sup>r</sup> correlation coefficients near 1 for  $D_J$ ,  $D_{JK}$ ,  $D_K$ ,  $d_1$  each with  $D_{JK}$ ,  $D_K$ ,  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$ .

Table 8. Final results of the fit considering the observed central frequencies of  $F_2C=CH^{35}Cl$  and  $F_2C=CH^{37}Cl$  after the  $A$  reduced Hamiltonian of Watson [9].

	$F_2C=CH^{35}Cl$	$F_2C=CH^{37}Cl$
$A$ /MHz	10 710.73661 (64) <sup>a</sup>	10 710.70390 (64)
$B$ /MHz	2 297.18720 (14)	2 232.28255 (13)
$C$ /MHz	1 890.14644 (15)	1 845.97821 (14)
$\Delta_J$ /kHz	0.348727 (26)	0.334897 (24)
$\Delta_{JK}$ /kHz	4.07532 (51)	3.93470 (48)
$\Delta_K$ /kHz	7.8803 (52)	8.0278 (54)
$\delta_J$ /kHz	0.059845 (8)	0.056140 (9)
$\delta_K$ /kHz	2.6008 (14)	2.5084 (18)
$\phi_{KJ}$ /Hz	−0.0278 (24)	−0.0280 (26)
$\phi_K$ /Hz	0.067 (15)	0.060 (18)
$\phi_{JK}$ /Hz	0.00225 (39)	0.00240 (50)
$\sigma^b$ /MHz	0.028	0.027
$N^c$	178.	170.

<sup>a</sup> Standard error in parenthesis in units of the last digit.<sup>b</sup> Standard deviation of the fit.<sup>c</sup> Number of fitted transitions.

Largest correlation coefficient 0.97 between  $\delta_K/\phi_{JK}$  for  $^{35}Cl$  isotopomer and 0.96 between the same constants for  $^{37}Cl$ .

representation are the same within three times the quoted standard errors. The combinations of determinable parameters calculated from the obtained constants are independent of the Hamiltonian used. For both the  $A$  and  $S$  reductions the I<sup>r</sup> representation yields acceptable values of the correlation coefficients. However, for the II<sup>r</sup> and III<sup>r</sup> representations the determined centrifugal distortion constants are highly correlated with coefficients very close to 1. Taking into

Table 9. Final results of the fit considering the observed central frequencies of  $F_2C=CH^{35}Cl$  and  $F_2C=CH^{37}Cl$  after the  $S$  reduced Hamiltonian of Watson [9].

	$F_2C=CH^{35}Cl$	$F_2C=CH^{37}Cl$
$A$ /MHz	10 710.73789 (65) <sup>a</sup>	10 710.70517 (63)
$B$ /MHz	2 297.18219 (15)	2 232.27770 (13)
$C$ /MHz	1 890.15181 (15)	1 845.98339 (13)
$D_J$ /kHz	0.318228 (26)	0.307153 (24)
$D_{JK}$ /kHz	4.26160 (52)	4.10445 (47)
$D_K$ /kHz	7.7280 (52)	7.8871 (52)
$d_1$ /kHz	−0.059851 (8)	−0.056142 (9)
$d_2$ /kHz	−0.015356 (8)	−0.013964 (10)
$H_{KJ}$ /Hz	−0.0293 (25)	−0.0285 (25)
$H_K$ /Hz	0.100 (16)	0.083 (18)
$h_2$ /Hz	0.000019 (2)	0.000015 (3)
$\sigma^b$ /MHz	0.029	0.027

<sup>a</sup> Standard error in parenthesis in units of the last digit.<sup>b</sup> Standard deviation of the fit.

Largest correlation coefficient 0.97 between  $d_2/h_2$  for the  $^{35}Cl$  isotopomer and 0.96 between the same constants for  $^{37}Cl$ .

account these results we used the I<sup>r</sup> representation in the subsequent analysis of the spectra.

When the whole set of the measured mean frequencies up to  $J=60$  were included in the fit the standard deviation of the fit was increased. To obtain fits of the same quality as those shown in Tables 6 and 7 some sextic constants were allowed to vary. The final results for both isotopomers are shown in Tables 8 for the  $A$  reduction, and 9 for the  $S$  reduction. Tables 4 and 5 show the differences between the observed and calculated reported mean frequencies as well as the quartic and sextic contributions calculated from the constants given in Table 8. The transitions reported are those with the higher contributions of sextic terms. It can be observed that these contributions are very low, and this provides an explanation of why only some sextic centrifugal distortion constants can be determined.

### Acknowledgements

L.A.L., J.C.L. and J.L.A. wish to acknowledge the Dirección General de Investigación Científica a Técnica (DGICYT, grant PB90-0345), the exchange program Accion Integrada Hispano–Alemana (1992) and Iberdrola S.A. for research support. A. G. Thanks the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, the Fonds der Chemie and the Land Schleswig-Holstein for research funds. Further A.G. wish to thank the DAAD for supporting the exchange program with Spain.



- [1] D. R. Jenkins and T. M. Sugden, *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **55**, 1473 (1959).
- [2] R. G. Stone and W. H. Flygare, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **49**, 1943 (1968).
- [3] A. G. Lesarri, M. E. Charro, R. Villamañan, D. G. Lister, J. C. López, and J. L. Alonso, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, **149**, 317 (1991).
- [4] A. G. Lesarri, J. C. López, and J. L. Alonso, *J. Mol. Struct.*, in press (1992).
- [5] A. G. Lesarri, J. C. López, and J. L. Alonso, to be published.
- [6] W. Gordy and R. L. Cook, *Microwave Molecular Spectra*, Wiley-Interscience, New York 1985, p. 861.
- [7] T. Ogata, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan* **55**, 9 (1982).
- [8] W. Gordy and R. L. Cook, *Microwave Molecular Spectra*, Wiley-Interscience, New York 1985, Chap. IX, pp. 391–450.
- [9] J. K. G. Watson, in: *Vibrational Spectra and Structure*, Vol. 6 (J. R. Durig, ed.), Elsevier, Amsterdam 1977, pp. 1–89.
- [10] J. H. Carpenter, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **46**, 348 (1973).